Program Evaluation and Assessment Committee (PEAC) Minutes

Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021
Time: 9:00AM – 10:30AM PST

Attendees:
Chair: Matt Cunningham
Voting members: Frank Batcha, Bekah Burns, Michael Campion, Pete Fuerst, Toby Keys, Sara Kim, Karen McDonough, Martin Teintze, Edith Wang, Zak Yaffe
Guests: Lida Lin, Signe Burchim, Kelley Goetz, Kellie Engle, Jaime Fitch, Doug Schaad, Jessica Wheeler, Ellen Stone

Regrets:
Voting members: Kathleen Kieran, Amanda Kost, Anita Samuel, Mark Whipple

AGENDA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>LEAD</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>ATTACHMENT</th>
<th>ACTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Approve past minutes</td>
<td>Matt Cunningham</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2020-21 Explore &amp; Focus EOP report</td>
<td>Matt Cunningham</td>
<td>50 min</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>E21 GPA MCAT Report</td>
<td>Matt Cunningham</td>
<td>20 min</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Foundations Assessment policy</td>
<td>Matt Cunningham</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Next meeting: Thursday Dec 16, 2021 3:30 PM PST
1. Approve October minutes (01:07:00)

Decision: Quorum met – minutes approved

Action: none

2. 2020-21 Explore and Focus EOP report (00:01:30)

Discussion: Matt shares copy of report and reviews each section

- Report is split into 2 sections: EM and Neurology/Neurosurgery and APCs and SUBIs
- Data is mostly based on E17 cohort though there is data from a small number of E16 students included in the report
- Clerkship Clinical Grades vs Clerkship Final Grades
  - No clinical fails however there are some final grade fails – this is largely due to the impact of a failed clerkship exam which is a policy that has since changed
  - EM saw a reduction of honors in final grades while Neurology and Neurosurgery saw a boost to those percentages
    - This shows impact of exam nudges which have since been adjusted to reduce the degree of shift to the final grade
- Summary graph of percent honors over the last 3 years shows Neurology/Neurosurgery is consistently higher than EM
- Clerkship final grades by quarter (E17 only)
  - Higher percentage of honors students in the first quarter for both EM and Neurology – it is theorized that summer students are more eager/motivated and this is reflected in the grades
- Impact of exam on final grade
  - In reviewing the charts for final grade tier change there is an obvious difference in impact of final grade for Neurosurgery vs EM
    - Neuro grades being higher after the exam is a significant percentage
    - EM grades were lower post-exam across all ethnicity categories –
      - This is not necessarily because of poor exam performance but students not meeting the honors threshold
- Clerkship common rating items
  - Students scored well on items across the board
- Clerkship evaluations results
  - Numbers show positive responses
- Historical trends in clerkships grades – APCs and Sub-Is
  - Covid is suspected to have made some impact on grades
- Medical student of clerkship ratings – APCs and Sub-Is
  - Scores across the board seem good
- Explore and Focus End-of-Phase survey
  - 51% of students responded
Overall reported satisfaction is lower than expected

• How can the data in this report be used for improving the phase?
  o This report will be distributed to clerkship directors for further review and discussion
  o Bearing in mind the low satisfaction, what questions need to be asked to tap into the quality issues?
  o There are aspects of the E&F phase that are not represented in this report which should be considered in terms of overall satisfaction, i.e. electives, career advising services, opportunities for career exploration
  o Matt and Sara to review and discuss how to improve questions on the survey

Decision: none

Action:

3. E21 GPA MCAT Report (00:54:25)

Discussion: Matt shares report and reviews each of the 4 categories

• GPA
  o Matt discusses the difference between the science GPA, the other GPA and the cumulative GPA
  o Matt discusses data breakouts across different categories and refers to corresponding graphs

• MCAT
  o Matt discusses data breakouts across different categories and refers to corresponding graphs
  o Is there any analysis between student MCAT scores and GPAs?
    ▪ It has been observed that the percentage of struggling students without MCAT scores was higher than the percentage of struggling students with MCAT scores
    ▪ Matt to look into conducting further analysis of a relationship between MCAT and GPA with the final MCBD scores

Decision: none

Action:

4. Foundations Assessment Policy (1:08:10)

Discussion: Matt explains the purpose of this document is to formalize the planning process of Foundations assessments

• Matt reviews purpose, policy statement and procedures and definitions
• Matt asks committee for questions, feedback or need for clarity
  o When is this document intended to take effect?
    ▪ The content of this document was intended to be effective immediately but Matt has altered to be effective starting with the E22 cohort
  o How/where does this fit into the overall Assessment Policy?
- Will this replace the existing foundations assessment guidelines?
  - Yes, current guidelines posted to the website will need to be reviewed for any contradictions or conflicts with this document
- Does thread mastery need to be addressed somewhere in this document?
- Matt will continue to work on the policy but requests a straw poll at this time for committee support
  - No objections – Matt to take suggestions under advisement and will present to Foundations Committee at an upcoming meeting

Decision: none

Action: