E20 End-of-Foundations Phase Survey

Summary Report



September 2022

EDUCATIONAL QUALITY IMPROVEMENT OFFICE

Sara Kim, PhD, Associate Dean, Research Professor, Surgery
Jung Lee, Director
Rachel Liao, Program Analyst
Samantha Mafune, Program Coordinator

OVERVIEW

The response rate of the E20 End-of-Foundations Phase survey was 69% (186/268), compared to 76% in E19 and 98% in E18. Below is a summary of comparison data between E20 and E19 as well as the range of E20 regional ratings. Following the LCME (Liaison Committee on Medical Education) rule-of-thumb for measuring quality, 80% student satisfaction is used as a benchmark when interpreting the data. Please refer to the full report for detailed regional breakdown data, especially areas where a wide variation in regional ratings is observed.

OVERALL EDUCATIONAL QUALITY				
FP Question	UWSUM Range 202		% Chang 2021 (>5%	
	(%)	(%)	↑	\downarrow
Overall quality of Foundations Phase (% Satisfied/Very satisfied)	84	77-96	3	
Recommend UWSOM to undergraduate premedical students (% Yes)	81	66-95		4

Note: The overall quality rating of the Foundations Phase remained high at 84%. Four out of five students reported they would recommend UWSOM to future candidates.

CURRICULUM				
FP Question	UWSOM	Range		nge from % shaded)
(% Satisfied/Very satisfied)	(%)	(%)	↑	\downarrow
Integration of Basic Science and Clinical Content:				
Basic science coursework had sufficient illustrations of clinical relevance.	83	76-100	1	
Foundations of Clinical Medicine integrated basic science concepts with clinical medicine	86	81-94	3	
Core Curricular Topics:				
Behavioral science	83	69-100	9	
Biochemistry	61	53-79	7	
Biostatistics and epidemiology	59	42-83	24	
Genetics	71	58-85	7	
Gross Anatomy	92	71-98	2	
Foundations in Clinical Medicine:	89	73-100	Same	as E19
Clinical skills workshop	81	50-100		3
 Hospital tutorials 	88	69-100		4
Primary care practicum (PCP)	85	79-94		3
Immunology	71	21-92		3
Microanatomy/Histology	88	82-95	7	
Microbiology	77	57-95	Same	as E19
Neuroscience	92	77-100	4	
Pathology	91	83-100	1	
Pharmacology	65	50-89	4	
Physiology	84	72-96		2
Pathophysiology of disease	91	89-96	1	
Themes:				
Diversity	55	44-83	4	
Effective communication	76	68-88		1

CURRICULUM				
FP Question	UWSOM			nge from % shaded)
(% Satisfied/Very satisfied)	(%)	(%)	↑	\downarrow
• Ethics	54	40-72	3	
Global, population, and public health	44	29-72	1	
Health equity	57	36-86	6	
Healthcare systems	38	26-56	2	
Interprofessional education	50	44-72		10
Lifelong learning	73	62-80		4
Professionalism	77	65-93	Same as E19	
Social determinants of health	71	48-93	5	
Systems improvement	53	42-72	4	

Note:

^{*}Themes: Health Equity was one area where greater than a 5% improvement was observed compared to E19.

EVALUATION & ASSESSMENT				
FP Question	UWSOM	Range	% Chan 2021 (>5%	ge from 6 shaded)
(% Satisfied/Very satisfied)	(%)	(%)	↑	\downarrow
Opportunities to discuss educational progress with a faculty member	84	73-100	2	
Quality of feedback on academic performance	74	60-86	4	
Quality of feedback on clinical performance	85	74-98	1	
Fairness of evaluation and performance assessment	91	87-100	E19	N/A

Note: Students were largely satisfied with various aspects of evaluation and assessment, particularly fairness of evaluation where students across all regional campuses reported high satisfactions.

FACULTY & ADMINISTRATION				
FP Question	UWSOM	Range	% Change from 2021 (>5% shaded)	
(% Satisfied/Very satisfied)	(%)	(%)	1	\downarrow
Faculty:				
Faculty are Committed to My Success	90	81-100		2
Responsiveness to Student Feedback:				
o Foundations block faculty	88	78-100	1	
College/FCM faculty	92	71-100	6	
UWSOM faculty overall	83	71-96	2	
Administration*:				
Office of the Associate Dean for Student Affairs:				
 Accessibility 	65	50-71		3
Awareness of student concerns	54	38-67		10

^{*}Integration: Students continued to rate highly the integration of basic science and clinical content in the curriculum.

*Curricular Core Topics: Student satisfaction exceeding 90% included: Gross Anatomy, Neuroscience, Pathology, and Pathophysiology of Disease. In the following topics, student satisfaction exceeded 80% and was consistently high across all regional campuses: Microanatomy/Histology, Pathology, and Pathophysiology of Disease. Curricular topics with a significant improvement (>5%) compared to E19 included: Behavioral Science, Biochemistry, Biostatistics & Epidemiology, Genetics, and Microanatomy/Histology.

FACULTY & ADMINISTRATION				
FP Question (% Satisfied/Very satisfied)	UWSOM	Range	% Change from 2021 (>5% shade	
(70 Satisfied/ Very Satisfied)	(/0)	(%)	1	↓
Responsiveness to student feedback	56	36-70		6
Office of the Associate Dean for Curriculum				
 Accessibility 	78	67-100	12	
Awareness of student concerns	74	64-100	1	
Responsiveness to student feedback	74	61-92	2	
Office of Assistant Foundations Dean and Their Staff				
o Accessibility	88	72-100		3
Awareness of student concerns	81	59-100		4
Responsiveness to student feedback	79	52-100		6

Note: *: Previous LCME accreditation citation.

^{*}Administration: Students continued to rate highly their experiences with the Assistant Foundations Dean's offices. Their experiences with the central offices of Student Affairs and Curriculum were mixed. Satisfaction with accessibility to the Curriculum office improved significantly compared to E19.

STUDENT SERVICES				
FP Question	UWSOM	Range		nge from % shaded)
(% Satisfied/Very satisfied)	(%)	(%)	1	\downarrow
Academic Support*:				
Availability of Academic Advising Services	83	39-100		5
Quality of Academic Advising Services	67	35-83		4
Career Advising**:				
Availability of Career Advising Services	89	79-100	2	
Overall quality of Career Advising Services	76	58-97	4	
Counseling Services:				
Availability of Counseling Services	96	88-100	4	
Confidentiality of Counseling Services	83	63-90		14
Quality of Counseling Services	83	63-90		7
Wellness Programs and Activities:				
Availability of Programs to Support Well-being	57	41-77		18
Quality of programs & activities that promote effective stress management, a balanced lifestyle, & overall well-being*	50	33-69		20
Financial Aid Services**:				
Availability of Debt Management Counseling	69	61-86	E19	N/A
Quality of Debt Management Counseling	64	50-81		16
Overall Quality of Financial Aid Services	74	65-92		7
Health Services*:				
Guidance on accessing health care during the Foundations Phase	44	34-56		16
Guidance on accessing health care during clinical training	33	21-54		10

Note: *: Current LCME accreditation citation; **Past LCME citation.

^{*}Faculty: Nine out of 10 students believed the faculty were committed to their success. Additionally, students highly rated the responsiveness to student feedback by Block faculty and College/FCM faculty.

^{*}Core Student Services: The core areas of student services showed mixed results. Counseling Services consistently maintained high student satisfaction; Students are highly satisfied with the availability of both Academic Advising and

Career Advising services. The quality of Academic Advising was significantly affected by a disruption in services in one campus; the quality of Career Advising continued trend in the positive direction with a need to bridge gaps in satisfactions across campuses. Student satisfaction with quality of Debt Management Counseling and overall Financial Aid Services decreased compared to last year with a wide variation in the regional data.

*Wellness Programs and Activities: Student satisfactions decreased by 18-20% compared to last year with a wide regional variation.

*Health Services: Student satisfaction with the guidance the school provided for accessing healthcare further decreased compared to last year.

LEARNING ENVIRONMENT					
FP Question	UWSOM	Range		nge from % shaded)	
(% Agree/Strongly Agree)	(%)	(%)	<u> </u>	\downarrow	
Overall Environment:					
The UWSOM provides a supportive culture for learning	83	69-100		4	
The UWSOM educational program promotes professional behavior	87	74-100		1	
Students are treated in a professional, respectful manner	89	71-100	1		
The UWSOM is a comfortable place for students from underrepresented backgrounds to learn medicine	57	43-73	6		
The UWSOM is a comfortable place for LGBTQ students to learn medicine	63	47-78		6	
Raising Concerns About Learning Environment:					
I can safely bring forward concerns about the learning environment without fear of reprisal	61	39-79		15	
I am satisfied with the outcomes of concerns raised about the learning environment	45	28-62		11	
Student-to-Student Connection:					
The diversity within my medical school class enhanced my training and skills to work with individuals from different backgrounds	65	57-79	E19	N/A	
I feel connected to UWSOM students at my Foundations Site	66	36-94		8	
I feel connected to UWSOM students at other Foundations Sites	15	4-33	1		
I feel comfortable sharing my ideas and opinions with other UWSOM students	61	40-88	3		

Note:

^{*}Overall Environment: Students largely agreed the school provided a supportive and respectful culture for learning; however, their ratings decreased when considering the learning environment for students from underrepresented backgrounds and LGBTQ students.

^{*}Raising Concerns: There was a significant decrease in student perception that they could safely raise concerns about the learning environment and in their satisfaction with the outcomes when concerns were raised.

^{*}Student to Student Connection: The degree to which students felt connected to others on their campus varied significantly from campus to campus.

MISTRE	ATMENT*				
	FP Question	UWSOM (%)	Range (%)	% Change fror 2021 (>5% shad	
		(70)	(70)	1	<u> </u>
	nt Policy and Reporting Procedure:				
	of the policies regarding mistreatment (%Yes)	94	86-100	Same	as E19
Know p	rocedures for reporting mistreatment (% Yes)	87	61-100		8
 Satisfac 	tion with mistreatment policy at UWSOM (%)	77	63-92		2
Satisfact	ction with mechanisms to report mistreatment at UWSOM (%)	76	65-92		4
Satisfaction	n with UWSOM's Action:				
• UWSON	M's overall actions on reports of mistreatment (%)	65	44-85		1
• UWSON	M-initiated activities to prevent and address mistreatment (%)	67	47-86		1
Student Mis	streatment Experiences (% of Once or More):				
Publicly	humiliated	4	0-14		4
	ed to unwanted sexual advances	1	0-2		2
Gender					
o Deni	ed opportunities for training or rewards based on gender	1	0-6		1
	ected to offensive sexist remarks/names	8	0-22		1
o Rece	eived lower evaluations or grades solely because of gender er than performance	1	0-2		1
	nd Ethnicity				
○ Deni ethni	ed opportunities for training or rewards based on race or icity	1	0-3		2
o Subj	ected to racially or ethnically offensive remarks/names	3	0-6		4
	eived lower evaluations or grades solely because of race or icity rather than performance	1	0-2		1
Sexual	Orientation				
orier	ed opportunities for training or rewards based on sexual station	1	0-2	Same	as E19
	ected to offensive remarks/names related to sexual	3	0-5	Same	as E19
	eived lower evaluations or grades solely because of sexual station	0	0		1
beliefs of	ed to negative or offensive behaviors based on your personal or personal characteristics other than your gender, unicity or sexual orientation	5	0-14		1
	Mistreatment:				
Did you	report any of the mistreatment behaviors? (% Yes)	27	0-50		22

Note: *Previous LCME accreditation citation.

^{*}Mistreatment Policy and Reporting Procedure: While student awareness of the mistreatment policy remained high, the percent of students knowing the procedures for reporting mistreatment decreased by 8% over the year. Student satisfaction with the policy and procedure decreased slightly with a wide range of regional variation.

^{*}UWSOM's Action: Student satisfaction with the school action on mistreatment report or activities to prevent mistreatment remained low with a wide regional variation.

^{*}Student Mistreatment Experiences: Overall, student mistreatment experiences decreased over the past year, which is a positive trend.

^{*}Reporting Mistreatment: A significantly lower percent of students reported mistreatment. Although satisfaction with the outcome of mistreatment reporting increased significantly this year, the small number of students (n=7) made data interpretation difficult and wasn't included in this summary report (see full report).